

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
EASTERN DIVISION

ANTHONY BURDEN,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
VS.	)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
	)	05-CV-433-F
	)	
DONAL CAMPBELL, et al.,	)	
et al,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	

**RESPONDENTS' SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWER  
TO AMENDED PETITION**

Come now Respondents, by and through the Attorney General of the State of Alabama, and file their response to this Court's Order dated August 15, 2005, giving the Respondents until August 26, 2005 in which to file a second supplemental answer to the Petitioner's Reply indicating the posture of Burden's ineffective assistance of appellate counsel claim after having failed to present such a claim in the state courts. In response, Respondents state the following.

1. The Respondents deny that Burden has raised an ineffective assistance of appellate counsel claim in his amended federal habeas petition as a distinct, substantive issue. Burden merely argues that his appellate counsel's failure to raise

before the state courts the issues he has raised in his federal habeas petition establishes cause to circumvent any procedural default of those claims.

2. Assuming Burden has raised ineffective assistance of appellate counsel as a distinct, substantive issue in his amended petition, such a claim would itself be procedurally defaulted. Burden did not raise any such issue before the state courts and the time for raising such an issue has expired. See State's Exhibit D submitted with the Respondents' initial Answer. As discussed in the Respondents' initial Answer, a petitioner must exhaust state court remedies before seeking federal habeas relief and, if the petitioner fails to exhaust such remedies before the time prescribed under state law, the issues sought to be raised have been procedurally defaulted. Title 28 U.S.C. §2254(b); Walker v. Zant, 693 F.2d 1087 (11th Cir. 1982); Smith v. Jones, 256 F. 3d 1135, 1138 (11th Cir. 2001).

### **CONCLUSION**

Based upon the foregoing authorities and facts, Burden's federal habeas corpus petition should be dismissed with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

Troy King (KIN047)

Attorney General

By:

s/John M. Porter

John M. Porter (ASB5818-P77J)

Assistant Attorney General

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 26th day of August, 2005, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service the document (including all exhibits) to the following non-CM/ECF participants: Robert A Ratliff, Esq., P.O. Box 2353, Mobile, AL 36652.

Respectfully submitted,

s/John M. Porter  
John M. Porter(ASB5818-P77J)  
Office of the Attorney General  
Alabama State House  
11 South Union  
Montgomery, AL 36130-0152  
Telephone: (334) 242-7300  
Fax: (334) 242-2848  
E-Mail: JPorter@ago.state.al.us

217098